Recommendation	Constitutional changes to member briefing on major/strategic/controversial applications						
R1							
Cabinet	Accepted	Accepted					
Response	In principle this recommer can often only become connecessary to broaden the	ontroversial well in ward member br	to the consul	Itation process, and judgeme . Secondly an increase in the	he addressed. Firstly applications nts will be required on when it is ne nature and frequency of ward ed through the Root and Branch		
Action	ı ı	Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
Monitoring Officer to	amend constitution.	Assistant Director (Economic, Environment and Cultural Services) / Monitoring Officer	Sept 2012	Clarity on briefings	Work in progress to amend the constitution. Ward member(and adjacent members) are briefed on significant applications		
Recommendation R2	Remodelling of Planning v	l veb-site			1		
Cabinet	Accepted.						
Response	On-going changes are bei	ng made to the we	eb-site based	on customer feedback and p	ractitioner experience.		
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
On-going amendments to web-site.		Head of Neighbourhood Planning	Aug 2012	User-friendly web-site.	Subject to on-going and regular updates and improvements		

Recommendation R3	Use of Plain English					
Cabinet	Accepted.					
Response	Opportunities should be Planning Service and ho	-	-		range of services offered by the	
Action	<u> </u>	Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Review/amendment of document text.		Head of Neighbourhood Planning	Oct 2012	Clarity of guidance	On-going as documents come forward for review	
Recommendation R4	Training for Members on	use of web-site				
Cabinet	Accepted.					
Response	•	accepted subject to	the insertion	of 'be invited' between shoul	d and attend. This review	
·	process cannot in itself r					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Member training eve	ent on use of web-site.	Head of	Nov 2012	Understanding of	Will form part of future	
-		Neighbourhood		opportunities/information	member training session	
		Planning		available.	-	

Recommendation	Changes of redirection pro	ocedure.			
R5					
Cabinet	Not Accepted.				
Response	Under s101 of the Local (Sovernment Act it	is not lawful t	to delegate a non-Executive F	Planning function (which includes
	decisions made under the	re-direction arran	gements) to a	a single member. The importa	ance of close liaison between the
	Chairman of the Planning	Committee and th	e Assistant D	Pirector – Economic, Environm	ent and Cultural Services and/or
	the Head of Neighbourhoo	od Planning is, how	wever, fully re	cognised by your officers.	
Action	-	Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Recommendation	Officer liaison with multi-w	 vard Members			
R6		ara momboro.			
Cabinet	Accepted in principle.				
Response	The approach set out in	n recommendation	n recognises	the complexities that exist	in multi-Member wards. It is
	suggested that the recon	nmendation is am	ended to red	quire case officers to treat al	I Members in multi-wards in an
	equitable way.				
Action	1	Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress
Amendments to inte	ernal guidance notes.	Head of	Aug 2012	Clarity of approach.	Officers have been reminded
					of the need to ensure
		Planning			appropriate
					briefings/consultations are
					undertaken in multi member
					wards.

Recommendation R7	Direct link to Planning web-site from Council's web-site.						
Cabinet	Accepted.						
Response	·						
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
Creation of direct lin	k.	Head of Neighbourhood Planning/Hoople	Aug 2012	Easier access to planning web-site.	A direct link from the front page of the Councils website through to Planning Applications now exists.		
Recommendation R8	Committee motions contra	ry to recommendat	ion.				
Cabinet	Accepted in principle.						
Response	Accepted in principle. This recommendation represents best practice, and was the subject of much discussion during the work of the Task and Finish Group. It is suggested that the wording is amended to read as follows: 'Any member of the Planning Committee who may be minded to propose a motion contrary to the officer recommendation at the Committee should contact the Head of Neighbourhood Planning (or the relevant Development Manager) before the meeting takes place. As a result of this contact the relevant Member should give consideration to a motion for his/her presentation to the Committee, and which sets out material planning reasons for taking a different approach'. At the meeting officers should be invited to advise the Committee on the planning and legal implications of any such proposed decision. The implementation of the recommendations are designed to enhance public confidence in the planning process.						
Action	·	Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		

Monitoring Officer to amend Constitution.	Assistant	Sept 2012	Improved performance and	Work in progress to amend the
	Director		reputation of the Planning	constitution. Enhanced
	(Economic,		Committee.	member / officer working has
	Environment			help provide clarity in such
	and Cultural			situations.
	Services) /			
	Monitoring			
	Officer			

Recommendation R9	Refresher training for Members.					
Cabinet	Accepted.			6.65		
Response	This is accepted, subject t	to the substitution	of 'given' with	offered.		
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Annual refresher tra	ining.	Head of Neighbourhood Planning	On-going	Enhanced performance of system.	Updates on planning issues continue to be arranged.	
Recommendation R10	Town and Parish Councils	to provide training	g for their me	mbers.		
Cabinet	The principles of this reco	mmendation are s	upported. No	onetheless town and parish co	ouncils will make their own	
Response	judgements on the need or otherwise for training for their members.					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	

N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Officer have attended Town
				and Parish Councils meetings
				to provide general guidance
				and updates

Recommendation	Preparation of Guidance Notes for town and parish councils.				
R11					
Cabinet	Accepted in principle.				
Response	This will involve an update	e and refresh of ex	isting guidan	ce.	
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress
Refresh existing guidance.		Head of Neighbourhood Planning	Sept 2012	Enhanced understanding at town/parish level.	This has been undertaken by visiting a number of Town and Parish Councils to provide updates on processes and Neighbourhood Planning
Recommendation	Retrospective planning fe	es			
R12	Treatospective planning te	C			

Cabinet	Accepted.						
Response	This issue is part of the current administration's policy.						
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
	d charges at time of ly setting application fees.	Head of Neighbourhood Planning	N/A	Full cost recovery.	Local fee setting has not been approved by Central Government		

Recommendation	Contact between Enforcement Officers and Ward Members.					
R13						
Cabinet's	Accepted					
Response	It is also suggested that the scope of this recommendation is widened to include Section 106 Agreements and cour action.					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Amendment of internal guidance notes.		Head of Neighbourhood Planning	Sept 2012	Improved Member knowledge and information.	Officers keep Ward Members updated.	
Recommendation R14	Training on Planning En	forcement Policy.				

Cabinet	Accepted in principle.				
Response	The principle of additional training is supported. In respect of Herefordshire Council members it is suggested that the emphasis of the recommendation be changed to one of 'offering' training. In respect of Town and Parish Council members this Council neither has the capacity nor the funding to deliver specific training on enforcement matters. The issue will however be included within the context of any one off visits to town and parish councils.				
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress
Delivery of Member	training	Head of Neighbourhood Planning	Oct 2012	Improved Member knowledge and information.	A new Enforcement Policy is in preparation. Consultation with Members will be undertaken

Recommendation	Localism Act and Planning	l				
R15/16						
Cabinet's	Accepted in principle.					
Response	The key elements of the	Localism Act are	now embed	lded in the planning system.	It will inevitably take time for	
	neighbourhood plans (the key element of the Localism Act) to work their way through the system. On this basis it would be useful to hold a consolidating training session on the Localism Act early in 2013.					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Consolidating training	g session on Localism	Head of	May 2013	Enhanced understanding	Members have updates and	
Act.		Neighbourhood		of Localism Act and update	on Neighbourhood progress in	
		Planning		on neighbourhood	their wards	
				planning roll-out.		

Recommendation	Members voting in their own wards.				
from Overview &					
Scrutiny					
Committee					
Cabinet's	The recommendation in the report is that the Monitoring Officer be requested to ask the Audit and Governance				
Response	Committee to consider whether the Planning rules should be changed to allow Planning Committee Members to vote on applications within their wards. Details comments will be provided to the Audit and Governance Committee on this proposal in due course. At this point however, the Monitoring Officer recommends that this change is accompanied by amended guidance for ward members in the Planning Code of Conduct both to reflect the current law on bias and pre-determination and to protect ward members who are also members of the Planning Committee and who wish to take part in the decision-making process.				
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress
Monitoring Officer to prepare a report to Audit		Monitoring	Sept 2012		
and Governance Committee.		Officer			